UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,386	06/07/2007	James K. Garland	TEAR AWAY WALL	7139
7590 01/19/2010 Terry M. Crellin 204 W. 330 North Laverkin, UT 84745		0	EXAMINER	
			NELSON, M	ATTHEW M
Laverkiii, U 1 8	4/43		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,386	GARLAND, JAMES K.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew M. Nelson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l.	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	ices the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper repl	y, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 			
after the expiration of the period for reply.	•		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire ir	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity un	der 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
See continuation sheet.			
/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Matthew M Nelson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to

As per MPEP 1205.03, "The appeal will be dismissed if appelant files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for noncompliance of which the appellant was notified." The communication filed on 10/19/09 did not correct the status of the claims. It mentions the claims that are rejected but not the ones on appeal. Claims remains uncorrected. The appeal is dimissed. Therefore, the application goes abandoned.